

Environmental Impact Monitoring Program (EIMP) - Spring 2016

Lot 1 on RP804106, Trent Road via Ayr

PREPARED FOR

Pacific Reef Fisheries (Australia) Pty Ltd

December, 2016



g a s s m a n
**development
perspectives**

project coordination
urban + regional planning
landscape + urban design
environmental management
visualisation + spatial services
surveying services
advisory services

DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET

Gassman Development Perspectives	
PO Box 392 Beenleigh QLD 4207	Document Number: 4541-01
Telephone: (07) 38073333 Fax: (07) 32875461 Email mail@gassman.com.au	Job Number: 4541
	Original Date of Issue: December 2016

DOCUMENT DETAILS

Title:	Environmental Impact Monitoring Program
Principal Author:	M Spears
Project Manager:	M Spears
Client:	Pacific Reef Fisheries Pty Ltd
Site Description:	Coastal prawn farm
Client Address:	Lot 1, Trent Road, Alva Beach
Client Contact:	Wayne DiBartolo

REVISION/CHECKLIST HISTORY

Revision Number	Date	Checked by	Issued by

DISTRIBUTION RECORD

Destination											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Client (bound)											
Client (unbound)											
File Copy											
Gassman Environmental Library											
Department of EHP											
Department of Primary Industries											
Other											

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	4
	1.1 BACKGROUND	4
	1.2 SITE DESCRIPTION	4
	1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE MONITORING PROGRAM	5
2	METHODOLOGY	6
	2.1 SAMPLING LOCATIONS	6
	2.2 MANGROVE HEALTH MONITORING	6
	2.3 SEDIMENT SAMPLING METHODS	7
	2.4 WATER QUALITY MONITORING	7
3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	8
	3.1 MANGROVE HEALTH	8
	3.2 SEDIMENT BIOGEOCHEMISTRY.....	9
	3.2.1 PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION.....	9
	3.2.2 TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON	11
	3.2.3 BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE ASSEMBLAGES.....	12
4	CONCLUSION	15
	APPENDIX 1 – PHOTOPLATES	16

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

This report has been prepared for Pacific Reef Fisheries (Australia) Pty Ltd (PRF) by Gassman Development Perspectives to fulfil the requirements of the Environmental Impact Monitoring Program (EIMP) developed by BTEQ in March, 2005 and updated by Gassman Development Perspectives in November, 2013. This monitoring program was developed in part to satisfy ongoing licensing requirements determined by the Department of Environment and Heritage Protection (DEHP), Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (GBRMPA) and the federal Department of Environment.

This report outlines the results for this sampling event which continued the ongoing monitoring program. This monitoring occurred on 15th to 17th of November, 2016. The purpose of the annual monitoring program is to determine any changes that occur to the receiving environment as a result of adjacent prawn farm activities by comparing various environmental parameters.

PRF has the following approvals which allow for the discharge of aquaculture of aquaculture waste to the surrounding environments:

- DEHP – Integrated Authority NR0280
- GBRMPA – Permit no. G01/352.2
- Department of Environment – EPBC 2001/402

1.2 Site description

The farm is located on Trent Road, Alva Beach which is 15km east of Ayr, Queensland (Figure 1). The site consists of 105 operational ponds covering 98 hectares for the production of Marine prawns (*Penaeus monodon*). The facility also has a hatchery, processing plant, 10.3 hectares of settlement-treatment ponds and 7 hectares of constructed mangrove wetland designed to reduce contaminants in the aquaculture waste prior to release into the receiving environment. Aquaculture waste generated on-site is treated prior to discharge into Little Alva Creek. An aerial image of the site can be found in Figure 2.







Figure 2 – Aerial photograph of the Pacific Reef Fisheries Prawn Farm

1.3 Objectives of the monitoring program

The purpose of this monitoring program is to detect any measurable environmental effects on the receiving waters of Little Alva Creek by regularly monitoring sites on both Little Alva Creek and nearby reference sites along Alva Creek. Observed intra-site differences in the following parameters will determine any measurable impacts that aquaculture waste discharge is having upon Little Alva Creek:

- Mangrove health including species composition, canopy cover, canopy height, density of mature trees and density of saplings;
- Abundance and diversity of benthic macro-invertebrates;
- Total organic carbon and grain-size distribution of benthic sediments; and
- Monthly water quality monitoring for the past 12 months.

2 Methodology

2.1 Sampling locations

Eight (8) locations have been selected for sampling. They are identified as follows:

- A Discharge point into Little Alva Creek
- B 500m downstream in Little Alva Creek
- C 250m north of mouth of Little Alva Creek
- D Location in Alva Creek corresponding with G
- E Location in Alva Creek corresponding with B
- F 250m north of mouth of Alva Creek
- G 250m upstream of discharge point in Little Alva Creek
- H Location in Alva Creek corresponding with A

Figure 3 shows the locations of all sampling sites.


All sites were accessible on this monitoring occasion.

2.2 Mangrove health monitoring

Mangrove health was monitored at sites A, B, D, E, G and H. At each site, permanent 400m² (20m x 20m) quadrats were established at the water extent of the mangrove edge and extended back into the mangrove stands. At each location the following parameters were measured:

- Species composition;
- Density of mature trees (over 3m);
- Density of saplings and small trees (under 3m).

Additionally, three to four permanent photographic reference points were established at each monitoring location on the first monitoring occasion (photographs in Appendix 1). These reference points continue to be utilised.



Scale 1:7500 - Lengths are in Metres.



planning
design
environment
surveying

Brisbane Office
Level 13
97 Creek Street Brisbane Q. 4000
t: (07) 32216732
f: (07) 32217308
Gold Coast and Logan Office
Access Business Park
76 Business Street Yatala Q. 4207
t: (07) 38073333
f: (07) 32875461
e: mall@gassman.com.au
w: www.gassman.com.au

Figure 1 - EIMP Sampling Locations

ALVA Beach
Pacific Reef Fisheries

NOTE:
The details of this plan have been prepared based on the most current Digital Cadastral Data Base (DCDB) information available from Queensland Department of Environment and Resource Management and is subject to survey.

date: 19-12-14
scale: 1:7500
design: MS/IAS
plan: 4541 E 00 03

2.3 Sediment sampling methods

At locations B, C, E and F sediments were sampled for the following parameters:

- Total organic carbon;
- Grainsize distribution; and
- Species composition and abundance of benthic macroinvertebrates.

Three samples were taken and analysed for each parameter at each site. Averages were calculated from the three samples and this average value used for analysis. All results from each sample of macroinvertebrates collected are presented.

2.4 Water quality monitoring

Each month, water quality is measured at sites A, B, D and E as per procedures outlined in the EIMP document and in accordance with licence conditions. The following parameters are measured:

- Temperature
- pH
- Dissolved oxygen
- Salinity
- Total Suspended Solids
- Turbidity
- Total Nitrogen
- Total Phosphorous

The results from the previous 12 months of monitoring are included in Appendix 2 of this report.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Mangrove health

The results of the mangrove quadrats for the four sites monitored are summarised in **Table 1**. Two (2) new species were observed at sites E and H, although the new species at site H was represented by one individual. Trees and saplings under 3m in height continue to outnumber mature trees at all sites. Densities of trees over 3m in height remain generally consistent with last monitoring occasion.

Densities of trees under 3m have generally rebounded from last monitoring occasion, with increases at sites D and E. Site H experienced a slight reduction of approximately three (3) individual trees under 3m in height. The landward edges of the mangrove areas continue to appear to be dry, consistent with last year's monitoring occasion.

No general observable differences were detected across the sites in the two creek systems.

Photographs of the quadrats are found in **Appendix 1**.

Table 1 – Mangrove observations for permanent quadrats

Quadrat	Species Present	Density of trees >3m (per m ²)	Density of trees <3m (per m ²)	GPS coordinates
A	<i>Ceriops australis</i> ; <i>Avicennia marina</i> ; <i>Rhizophora stylosa</i> ; <i>Aegiceras corniculatum</i>	0.0625	0.7175	-19.469, 147.486
B	<i>Avicennia marina</i> ; <i>Rhizophora stylosa</i>	0.02	0.1625	-19.4654, 147.49
D	<i>Avicennia marina</i> ; <i>Rhizophora stylosa</i> ; <i>Ceriops australis</i> ; <i>Aegalis annulata</i>	0.4	1	-19.4655, 147.473
E	<i>Avicennia marina</i> ; <i>Rhizophora stylosa</i> ; <i>Aegalis annulata</i> ;	0.035	1	-19.4632, 147.487

Quadrat	Species Present	Density of trees >3m (per m ²)	Density of trees <3m (per m ²)	GPS coordinates
	<i>Aegiceras corniculatum*</i>			
G	<i>Avicennia marina</i> ; <i>Rhizophora stylosa</i>	0.8	0.75	-19.4703, 147.4837
H	<i>Rhizophora stylosa</i> ; <i>Avicennia marina</i> ; <i>Aegalis annulata</i> ; <i>Osbornia octodonta</i> ; <i>Bruguiera gymnorhiza*</i> (1 individual)	0.45	0.03	-19.4644, 147.4802

* new species observed on this monitoring occasion

3.2 Sediment biogeochemistry

3.2.1 Particle size distribution

The results of the particle size distribution (PSD) analysis are presented in Figure 4 and Table 2. On this occasion, sites B, C and F displayed high levels of uniformity. Site E displayed a higher concentration of sediment within the 0.03mm range but the distribution does not appear to vary substantially from the remaining sites. This indicates that the neither creek system has substantially changed between monitoring occasions.

Because of the uniformity among the other samples, it is not likely that the differences are related to aquaculture activities. Various seasonal and environmental factors, including possible sampling anomalies are likely to be responsible for this difference with site E from the other sites. However, if this pattern continues on the next monitoring occasion, further investigations may be required to determine the potential source of the variation.

A minimum of eight (8) samples per site would be required to analyse the data statistically, however visual trends observed from charts such as Figure 4 are considered to be sufficiently indicative of changing trends over time. Figure 5 contains the comparison plot from the spring sampling occasion from 2015.




Figure 4 – Particle size distribution chart for sites B, C, E and F




Figure 5 – Comparative Particle size distribution chart for sites B, C, E and F from Spring 2015 sampling

Table 2 –Particle Size Analysis of Sediments from sites B, C, E and F

Size parameter	Sampling site											
	B1	B2	B3	C1	C2	C3	E1	E2	E3	F1	F2	F3
%												
<1.18 >0.6mm Coarse sand	3	0	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	1
<0.6 >0.425mm Medium sand	6	14	15	20	12	13	24	11	8	8	19	10
<0.425 >0.3mm Medium sand	9	24	26	34	31	30	39	27	18	24	31	31
<0.3 >0.15mm Fine sand	17	28	29	33	39	39	27	37	26	37	29	39
<0.15 >0.075mm Fine sand	17	22	20	9	15	15	7	23	39	29	17	17
<0.075mm Silt and clay	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0

3.2.2 Total Organic Carbon

Total Organic Carbon (TOC) is an indicator of organic matter preserved within sediment. Organic matter has a high propensity to be retained in finer grained sediments. In **Table 3** it is represented as a percentage of the total weight of sediment collected. On this occasion, site B again exhibited the highest proportion of TOC in the sediment which is consistent with the last two monitoring occasions. The remainder of the samples continued to exhibit relatively low concentrations of TOC, indicating no significant differences between the two creeks.

Table 3 – Total Organic Carbon

Site	Total Organic Carbon (%)
B1	1.11
B2	0.49
B3	0.08
C1	0.04
C2	0.04
C3	0.03
E1	0.03
E2	0.02
E3	0.09
F1	0.05
F2	0.04
F3	0.04

3.2.3 Benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages

Communities of benthic macroinvertebrates are a robust indicator of the relative health of an aquatic ecosystem. As they often have narrow environmental tolerances, even minor anthropogenic changes to a receiving environment are reflected in changes to macroinvertebrate communities.

The results of the macroinvertebrate species composition for sites B, C, E and F can be found in **Table 4**. Changes in the diversity and abundance of benthic macroinvertebrates over time are considered to be a reliable indicator of changing environmental conditions which may be attributable to discharge from the prawn farm.

Table 5 provides a comparison in diversity between the previous spring sampling and this occasion. Whilst Site B indicated three (3) additional taxa than last year's monitoring occasion (5 to 8), the other three sites displayed a lower number of taxa present. Sites C and E had one less taxon each, and Site F had four (4) less taxa. The relative abundance of individual taxa collected was also notably lower. Pacific Reef Fisheries is not likely to be causing a significant impact on the diversity of benthic macroinvertebrates in the receiving environment because the reductions in observed taxa were consistent across all sites in both Little Alva Creek and Alva Creek.

Table 4 – Macroinvertebrates detected at sites B, C, E and F

Phylum	Class/Order	Family	B1	B2	B3	C1	C2	C3	E2	E3	F1	F2	F3	Total
Annelida	Polychaeta	Capitellidae	1											1
Arthropoda / Crustacea	Brachyura	Ocypodidae	1	2										3
Arthropoda / Crustacea	Isopoda	Corallanidae												0
Mollusca	Bivalvia	Haminoeidae		1										1
Mollusca	Bivalvia	Macluridae		6	2	2	2	2						14
Mollusca	Bivalvia	Mesodesmatidae			2		1		1					4
Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneridae					1							1
Mollusca	Gastropoda	Buccinidae		2	1					1				4
Mollusca	Gastropoda	Columbellidae							1					1
Mollusca	Gastropoda	Naticidae		2							3	4		9
Mollusca	Gastropoda	Potamididae	1	1	2			1				1	2	8

Table 5 – Comparison of diversity in taxa between sampling occasions

Spring 2015 sampling	Spring 2016 sampling
B = 5 taxa collected	B = 8 taxa collected
C = 5 taxa collected	C = 4 taxa collected
E = 4 taxa collected	E = 3 taxa collected
F = 6 taxa collected	F = 2 taxa collected

4 Conclusion

On this sampling occasion, all sites were accessible and were sampled. For parameters including particle size distribution and total organic carbon, no substantial variances were observed between sampling occasions. Similar to last sampling occasion, TOC was higher at site B than the other sites, and for all other sites TOC were comparable to last occasion. Mangrove densities for trees under 3m in height had reduced across the majority of the sample sites.

Comparisons between all sample sites did not detect significant differences between the two sampling occasions and no environmental impacts were detected that could be attributed to activities relating to prawn production. Macroinvertebrate assemblages lower in diversity and abundance in comparison to last monitoring occasion, but consistent observations were made across both creeks. The next sampling event will be around November/December, 2016.

Appendix 1 – Photoplates

Quadrat A – Four photographs









Quadrat B – Four photographs









Quadrat D – four photographs









Quadrat E – four photographs









Quadrat G – four photographs









Quadrat H – two photographs





Appendix 2 – Monthly Water Quality Monitoring Data

Pacific Reef Fisheries Pty Ltd
 Monthly Water Quality
 Sampling at W1
 2015-16

Discharge (W1)

<u>Parameter</u>	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-16	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-16	Jun-16	Mean	80th Percentile	Maximum
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	15	31	27	11	38	28	31	23	1	13	9	3	19.17	30.4	38
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (<i>u</i> g/L)	3.13	1.6	22.5	24.3	11.6	<0.1	6.6	5.1	13.6	16.7	14	10.5	11.78		24.3
Total Nitrogen (mg N/L)	0.414	1.200	1.100	1.1	0.680	1.600	0.180	0.580	2.400	1.300	2.100	1.1	1.15	1.5	2.4
Total Phosphorus (mg P/L)	0.040	0.240	<0.1	0.24	0.340	0.300	<0.1	<0.1	0.420	0.170	<0.1	0.12	0.23	0.3	0.42
Turbidity (NTU)	9.5	10.1	14.7	11.4	15	19	17.2	12.5	13.5	12.5	11	6.2	12.72		19

Intake

<u>Parameter</u>	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-16	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-16	Jun-16	Mean	80th Percentile	Maximum
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	16	54	22	27	33	36	26	26	12	17	15	18	25.17	31.8	54
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (<i>u</i> g/L)	1.04	0.1	6.7	22.7	5.7	<0.1	2.9	2.9	2.2	1.3	3.6	2.4	4.69		22.7
Total Nitrogen (mg N/L)	0.241	0.42	0.14	0.17	0.1	0.13	0.17	0.37	1.1	0.23	0.99	0.36	0.37	0.4	1.1
Total Phosphorus (mg P/L)	0.027	0.23	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.35	<0.1	0.17	<0.1	0.19	0.3	0.35
Turbidity (NTU)	9.6	11.4	13.6	4.6	13	3.8	23.1	13.3	11.9	11.9	16.7	9.4	11.86		23

Mean 80th Percentile Maximum

Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	40	65	100
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (<i>u</i> g/L)	15		200
Total Nitrogen (mg N/L)	1.1	2.5	3.5
Total Phosphorus (mg P/L)	0.11	0.35	0.45

Mean 80th Percentile Maximum

Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	30		50
Total Nitrogen (mg N/L)			3.0
Total Phosphorus (mg P/L)			0.30